1	
2	
3	Proposed Study Scope for the 2022-2023
4	NorthernGrid Planning Cycle
5	Member Planning Committee Approval Date: TBD
6	

1 Executive Summary

- 2 This Study Scope outlines the NorthernGrid 2022-2023 regional transmission planning process, as
- 3 required under FERC Orders No. 890 and 1000, in accordance with each Enrolled Party's Open
- 4 Access Tariff (OATT) Attachment K Regional Planning Process and NorthernGrid Planning
- 5 Agreement.
- 6
- 7 The NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan evaluates whether transmission needs within the
- 8 NorthernGrid may be satisfied by regional and/or interregional transmission projects. The NorthernGrid
- 9 Regional Transmission Plan provides valuable regional insight and information for all stakeholders to
- 10 consider and use in their respective decision-making processes.
- 11
- The study scope for NorthernGrid's 2022-2023 Transmission Plan was developed using the followingprocess:
- Identification of the Baseline Projects of Enrolled Parties. Baseline Projects are the transmission
 projects included in the Enrolled Parties' Local Transmission Plans.
- Evaluation of combinations of the Enrolled Parties Baseline Projects and Alternative Projects to
 identify whether there may be a combination that effectively satisfies all Enrolled Party Needs.
- Use of Power flow and production cost analysis techniques to determine if the modeled
 transmission system topology meets the system reliability performance requirements and
 transmission needs.
- Selection of the Regional Combination that effectively satisfies all Enrolled Party Needs into the
 NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan.
- 23
- 24 Overview of Key Observations:
- 25 Regional Summary of Needs

The regional needs were sourced from member data submissions, including load forecasts, resource
 additions and retirements, anticipated transmission topology, and public policy requirements. Data

- 28 submissions were received from NorthernGrid's 13 members:
- Avista (AVA)
- 30 Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)
- Chelan PUD (CHPD),
- 32 Idaho Power Company (IPC)
- 33 Montana Alberta Tie Line (MATL)
- NV Energy (NV E)
- 35 NorthWestern Energy (NWMT)
- PacifiCorp East and West (PACE and PACW)
- Portland General Electric (PGE)
- Puget Sound Energy (PSE)



- Seattle City Light (SCL)
 - Snohomish PUD (SNPD) •
 - Tacoma Power (TPWR) •

4			
5	•	Load Fo	precast
6 7		0	An average of 0.7% annualized load growth for the entire membership was observed between 2026-2032.
8 9		0	Altogether, the peak load grew approximately 4.6% from the peak load of the 2030 cycle: with the addition of NV Energy, that overall growth is 19.9%.
10		0	Nearly all utilities reported 0.5% to 0.7% annualized load growth.
11 12		0	With the addition of NV Energy, NorthernGrid is primarily a summer peaking system, with a maximum load of 55,130 MW.
13		0	The expected winter load for the NorthernGrid footprint is approximately 50.000 MW.
14	•	Genera	ation Retirements - Members reported 9.238 MW of generation retirements.
15	•	Resour	ce Additions – Members reported 24.067 MW of generation additions: batteries are
16		include	ed in the resources.
17 18	•	Propos	ed Member Fransmission - Members are proposing 141 new and upgraded transmission s. primarily for local load service and increased reliability.
19	•	Propos	ed Regional Transmission - There are 13 regional projects for consideration.
20	•	Propos	ed Non-incumbent Regional – There are 4 non-incumbent regional projects for
21		conside	eration: Cascade Renewable Transmission System. Cross-Tie. SWIP North. and Loco Falls
22		Greenli	ine.
23	•	Propos	ed Non-Incumbent Interregional – No interregional projects were submitted to the
24		Northe	rnGrid region.
25	Case A	nalvsis	
26 27 28 29 30	The No project for the conditi	orthernG s along v ir ability ons.	rid Regional Transmission Plan will assess the existing system and committed with combinations of planned and proposed transmission and resource changes to reliably serve the annual variations in 2032 load and generation dispatch
31 32	Initial a loading	analysis o g conditio	of the data submissions indicates that the NorthernGrid region experiences peak ons during the summer; with the next highest load occurring in the winter.
33	The No	orthernG	rid Study Scope will outline the analysis needed to assess the transmission system
34	for a te	en-year f	uture and will include detail on how both reliability and the congestion will be
35	assesse	ed. North	nernGrid plans to use approved Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC)
36 27	base ca	ases for t	he reliability analysis and the Anchor Data Set for the production cost analysis.
38	The No	orthernG	rid footprint is vast and covers varied terrain: because of this four different stress
39	conditi	ons have	been selected. Both winter and summer conditions will get studied to capture
40	the inh	erent wi	inter-peaking and summer-peaking entities. There have been increasingly more
41	instanc	es of no	rthbound flows over the California-Oregon Intertie (COI); this notion, together
42	with th	increa	sed electrification in the northwest and solar in the southwest led to a light spring
43	case. T	here is a	significant amount of proposed wind in the state of Wyoming; this base case will

get selected from the Anchor Data Set.



- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6 <u>Cost Allocation</u>
- 7 PowerBridge submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- 8 Task Force resulting in the approval of PowerBridge as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 9 PowerBridge submitted the Cascade Renewable project.
- 10 Great Basin Transmission submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost
- 11 Allocation Task Force resulting in the approval of Great Basin Transmission as a Qualified Developer for
- 12 this planning cycle. Great Basin Transmission submitted the SWIP North project.
- 13 TransCanyon submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- 14 Task Force resulting in the approval of TransCanyon as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 15 Great Basin Transmission submitted the Cross-Tie.
- 16

17 Table of Contents

18 Contents

19	Executive Summary	2
20	Overview of Key Observations:	2
21	Table of Contents	4
22	Introduction and Purpose Statement	5
23	Regional Transmission Plan Development	6
24	Regional Transmission Plan Development Process Overview	6
25	General Schedule and Deliverables	7
26	Stakeholder Engagement	7
27	Regional Summary of Needs	7
28	Current Transmission System	7
29	Data Submission Summary	9
30	Local Summary	11
31	Loads Summary	11
32	Resources Summary 2022-2032	11
33	Transmission Service Obligations	13

1	Regional Transmission Projects	13
2	Enrolled Party Transmission Projects	14
3	Non-Incumbent Transmission Projects	15
4	Load Changes	16
5	Public Policy Requirements Summary	18
6	Approach	18
7	Key Observations	19
8	Case Analysis	19
9	Methodology and Assumptions Overview	19
10	Analysis Objectives	19
11	Change to Databases to be utilized	19
12	Performance Criteria	19
13	Base Case Conditions	19
14	Evaluation of Regional Transmission Project Combinations	20
15	Impacts on Neighboring Regions	20
16	Cost Allocation	20
17	Introduction	20
18	Qualified Developers	20
19	Benefits and Beneficiary Analysis	21
20	Appendix A: Generation Changes	22
21	Appendix B: Transmission Service	24
22	Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations	27
23	Appendix D: Breakdown of resources by Type and County	29
24		

25 Introduction and Purpose Statement

The objective of the transmission planning study is to produce the NorthernGrid Regional Transmission
 Plan, through the evaluation and selection of regional and interregional projects that effectively satisfies

all the transmission needs within the NorthernGrid region. The regional needs were sourced from

29 member data submissions, including load forecasts, resource additions and retirements, projected

30 transmission, and public policy requirements.

31 The committees for NorthernGrid are as follows:

- 1 The Member Committee (MC) is composed of NorthernGrid member representatives. The MC is 2 responsible for membership approval, budget development and approval, and vendor 3 management. 4 The Member Planning Committee (MPC) is composed of transmission planner representatives • 5 from all NorthernGrid members. The MPC is responsible for development of the regional 6 transmission plan. 7 The Enrolled Parties Planning Committee is composed of Federal Energy Regulatory ٠ 8 Commission (FERC) jurisdictional utilities. Collectively these members are responsible for 9 regional transmission planning compliance. There are two sub-committees of this primary 10 committee: o The Enrolled Parties and States Committee (EPSC) is responsible for state engagement 11 12 in the regional transmission planning process. 13 The Cost Allocation Task Force (CATF) is composed of enrolled parties and states 14 representatives and is responsible for cost allocation compliance. 15
- 16 Regional Transmission Plan Development
- 17 Regional Transmission Plan Development Process Overview
- 18 NorthernGrid began the process to develop a regional transmission plan by requesting members to
- 19 submit data pertaining to forecasted loads, resource additions and retirements, transmission additions
- and upgrades, and public policy requirements. The plan spans the 2022- 2032 time period.
- 21 The regional plan will be developed over the course of two years, beginning March 31, 2022 and ending
- 22 December 31, 2023. A summary of the key deliverables in Year 1 and Year 2 is included below.
- 23 Deliverables not defined by Attachment K are subject to change.
- 24



1 General Schedule and Deliverables

2



6 Stakeholder Engagement

7 Stakeholders are invited to participate in the public meetings and comment periods. They will also have

- 8 active involvement in the development of the regional transmission plan. The first period for
- 9 stakeholder comments begins with the publishing of the Draft Study Scope. There are three main
- 10 opportunities to provide comment, and they are in response to the following publications: the proposed
- 11 Study Scope, the Draft Regional Transmission Plan, and the Draft Final Transmission Plan.

12

13 Regional Summary of Needs

14 Current Transmission System

15 The NorthernGrid system is depicted below in Figure 2: NorthernGrid Balancing Authority Areas and the

16 existing transmission is depicted in Figure 3: Existing NorthernGrid transmission.

Draft 2022-2023 Study Scope









1

3 Data Submission Summary

- 4 This section summarizes the data submission results that NorthernGrid received from its 13 members.
- 5 The NorthernGrid is made up of Avista (AVA), Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Chelan PUD
- 6 (CHPD), Idaho Power Company (IPC), Montana Alberta Tie Line (MATL), NV Energy (NV E), NorthWestern
- 7 Energy (NWMT), PacifiCorp East and West (PACE and PACW), Portland General Electric (PGE), Puget
- 8 Sound Energy (PSE), Seattle City Light (SCL), Snohomish PUD (SNPD), and Tacoma Power (TPWR). The
- 9 member Balancing Authority Areas (BAA) are illustrated in Figure 7 below.
- 10 The NorthernGrid members that are registered as Balancing Authority Areas are required to submit a
- 11 ten-year load and resource forecast to the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) annually.
- 12 This forecast includes identification of forecasted generation resources and transmission facilities. The
- 13 NorthernGrid leverages this submission for the biennial regional transmission plan. Each member
- 14 submitted their data and the NorthernGrid summarized the data pertinent to the NorthernGrid region:
- 15 load, generation resource retirements, generation resource additions, and 230 kV and above
- 16 transmission additions. A summary of each member's data submission is shown in Figure 4:
- 17 NorthernGrid Summary.

² Figure 3: Existing NorthernGrid transmission





- Figure 4: NorthernGrid Summary.

Observations:

- 1. Overall, the NorthernGrid load is predicted to grow at an average of 0.7% annually.
- 2. Some utilities lowered their load expectations from the 2020-2021 cycle. This decrease in load
- forecast may be due to expectations on distributed energy resources installations and increased energy efficiencies.
- 3. In total, there were 141 transmission projects, only 13 of which were deemed to be regionally significant.
- 4. There is a net generation increase predicted for the NorthernGrid footprint.

1 Local Summary

- 2 The NorthernGrid members have projected the need for 141 new and upgraded transmission system
- 3 projects in the local transmission planning processes. These projects primarily support local load service
- 4 and reliability and have not been deemed to be "regionally significant".
- 5

6 Loads Summary

7 Table 1: NorthernGrid Load Values; shades of green denote seasons

	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	ylul	August	September	October	November	December
2030 (MW)	44,266	42,261	39,304	36,659	36,645	40,055	43,383	43,109	37,892	36,699	40,860	44,595
2032, WITHOUT NV E (MW)	44,099	42,601	40,172	37,061	37,029	42,208	44,870	44,358	39,379	37,611	40,686	44,472
2032 <i>,</i> WITH NV E (MW)	49,657	47,970	45,593	43,102	45,055	52,116	55,130	54,488	48,509	44,202	45,796	50,000

- 8
- 9

13

10 Key Observations:

The summer peak loading is 55,130 MW; the winter peak loading is 50,000 MW. The distinction
 is primarily due to the addition of NV Energy, which is a summer-peaking utility.

• The spring seasonal peak is 45,593; the fall seasonal peak is 48,509 MW.

A majority of the NorthernGrid area is forecasted to have minimal peak load growth. Moderate winter and summer peak loads are predicted by PGE. However, the Puget Sound area outside of the major

16 population centers of Seattle and Tacoma anticipate moderate winter and high summer load growth

17 driven by increased air conditioning installations. GCPD projects high growth through all seasons due to

18 data centers. Similarly, NWMT forecasts moderate peak load growth in both winter and summer. Finally,

19 IPC is expecting moderate winter and high summer peak load growth as its population continues to

20 expand.

21 Resources Summary 2022-2032

22 As stated in the introduction of the Study Scope, there are approximately 24 GW of resources being

23 developed within the NorthernGrid region along with approximately 9 GW of resources being retired.

24 All future resources are based on member resource planning processes. The Enrolled Parties determine

25 resource additions through the development of their Loads and Resources needed for base case

26 development. In some instances, the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) requirements needed to meet

27 state mandate may inform the development of the Loads and Resources data. Many of the resource



- 1 additions presented are based on the existing IRP preferred portfolio which may change during
- 2 subsequent biennial planning cycles.



3

4 Figure 5: Cumulative Resource Additions

5 Figure 5: Cumulative Resource Additions above shows the proposed generation additions by type and by

geographic area. Appendix A: Generation Changes lists the entire breakdown of resources; the majority
of the proposed resources are renewable in nature.

- 8
- _
- 9
- 10



1

2 Figure 6: Cumulative Resource Retirements

3 The resources retirements submissions are primarily coal or natural gas. In some instances, generic

resources that have been identified in IRPs have been identified as retired before 2032 and are marked
as "MWH".

6 Transmission Service Obligations

7 Like loads, resources, and public policy, transmission service obligations may drive transmission

8 development. The NorthernGrid members are encouraged to submit all transmission service data that is

9 used in the development of their local transmission plan so that it may be considered during the

10 development of the regional transmission plan. A complete summary of the firm transmission service

agreements is provided in Appendix B: Transmission Service.

12

13 Regional Transmission Projects

14 Enrolled Parties as well as Developers submitted the regional projects depicted in Figure 7: Proposed

15 Projects, Enrolled and Non-Incumbent.



1

2 Figure 7: Proposed Projects, Enrolled and Non-Incumbent

3 Enrolled Party Transmission Projects

4 The thirteen projects submitted by the Enrolled parties are as follows:

5 Boardman to Hemingway- Boardman to Hemingway 500 kV line, Hemingway to Bowmont and Bowmont

6 to Hubbard 230 kV lines. This includes two sections of series compensation. The Oregon end of the line

7 was terminated at the Longhorn station, which is near the town of Boardman, Oregon. While the figures

8 do not visually display the 230 kV facilities associated with the B2H project, the 230 kV facilities are

9 included in the model for B2H as they are needed to integrate B2H into Idaho Power's system. The B2H

10 project was selected into the 2020-2021 NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan.

- 12 Gateway West- A suite of four project segments were evaluated for Gateway West. These are:
- 13 Populus-Cedar Hill-Hemingway 500 kV
- 14 Populus-Borah-Midpoint-Hemingway 500 kV
- 15 Midpoint-Cedar Hills 500 kV
- 16 Anticline-Populus 500 kV

- 1 Of the Gateway West projects, only the Populus-Cedar Hill-Hemingway and Anticline-Populus 500 kV
- 2 lines were selected into the 2020-2021 NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan.
- 3
- Gateway South- Aeolus to Clover 500 kV Line. Based on guidance from PacifiCorp, the Windstar-Shirley
- Basin 230 kV line (part of Gateway West) has the same in-service date as the Aeolus-Clover project for
 simplicity.
- The Gateway South project was selected into the 2020-2021 NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan.
- 9 One Nevada #2- 500 kV #2 from Harry Allen to Robinson Summit. Also includes upgrades to the 345 kV
 10 system.
- 11 MATL- MATL proposed a conversion of the MATL to direct current. The rating will increase to a
- 12 maximum of 500 MW. MATL was not selected into the 2020-2021 Regional Transmission Plan.
- 13 Non-Incumbent Transmission Projects
- 14 The NorthernGrid regional planning process allows non-incumbent and merchant transmission
- 15 developers to submit projects for analysis. Several non-incumbent or merchant transmission projects
- 16 were received during the submission period. They are further classified into regional and interregional
- 17 transmission projects based on whether the project terminals are within the region or interconnect
- between regions, i.e. interregional. For the 2022-2023 planning cycle, none of the submitted non-
- 19 incumbent projects were deemed interregional.
- 20 Cascade Renewable Transmission System- PowerBridge is proposing to construct the Cascade
- 21 Renewable Transmission System Project. This Project is an 80-mile, 1,100 MW transfer capacity +/- 400
- 22 kV HVDC underground cable (95 percent installed underwater) interconnecting with the grid through
- 23 two +/- 1100 MW AC/DC converter stations interconnecting with the AC grid at Big Eddy and Harborton
- substations. There are no proposed generation resources associated with the transmission line.
- 25
- Loco Falls Greenline- Absaroka is proposing a merchant transmission project connecting Great Falls 230
- 27 kV substation to the Colstrip 500 kV Transmission System. The project consists of two 230 kV
- transmission circuits and a new Loco Mountain Substation with 230 to 500 kV transformation. There are
- 29 no proposed generation resources associated with the transmission line.
- 30 Cross-Tie Transmission Project- TransCanyon LLC is proposing the Cross-Tie Project, a 1,500 MW, 500 kV
- 31 single circuit HVAC transmission project that will be constructed between central Utah and east-central
- 32 Nevada. The project connects PacifiCorp's planned 500-kV Clover substation with NV Energy's existing
- 33 500 kV Robinson Summit substation; both substations reside in the NorthernGrid footprint.
- 34 Southwest Intertie Project North (SWIP)- Great Basin Transmission, LLC ("GBT"), an affiliate of LS Power,
- 35 submitted the 275-mile northern portion of the Southwest Intertie Project (SWIP) to the California ISO
- 36 and NorthernGrid. The SWIP-North Project connects the Midpoint 500 kV substation to the Robinson
- 37 Summit 500 kV substation with a 500-kV single circuit AC transmission line. With the addition of NV
- 38 Energy into the NorthernGrid footprint, the SWIP project is now fully within the NorthernGrid footprint.
- 39 The SWIP is expected to have a bi-directional WECC-approved path rating of approximately 2000 MW.

- 1 SWIP North has proposed 1,850 MW of new wind generation resources located in Idaho as a result of
- 2 the transmission line. Appendix XXX provides a table of proposed generation associated with the SWIP
- 3 North project. The interregional evaluation plan is located at
- 4 <u>https://www.northerngrid.net/resources/swip-north-itp-evaluation-plan.</u>

5 Alternative Projects

- 6 The Enrolled Parties Planning Committee did not identify any Alternative Projects: no Alternative
- 7 Projects were carried over from the 2020-2021 cycle and no new Alternative Projects were submitted at
- 8 the beginning off the 2022-2023 planning cycle.

9 Load Changes

- 10 The load changes across the region are varied; in some instances, load expectations are for growth and
- in others, load expectations are for declining load. Figure 8: Annual Summer Load Growth and Figure 9:
- 12 Annual Winter Load Growth graphically display the different load expectations by summer.



14 Figure 8: Annual Summer Load Growth



1

2 Figure 9: Annual Winter Load Growth

3

4 Table 2: Summary of Enrolled Party loads and resources Submittal

	NG	AV A	BPA	CH	GC	IPC	NV E	NW	PAC	PAC	PG	PSE	SCL	SNOP	
		A		FU	FU	1	E		-	VV	E		1	00	VVN
%	4.6	2.5	5.1	0.9	15.	7.3	4.1	6.1%	5.3	0.3	4.9	5.0%	3.2	1.2%	-
Growth	%	%	%	%	4%	%	%		%	%	%		%		2.6
2026-															%
2032															
%	19.	3.1	-	3.3	20.	15.		-	9.2	0.1	8.6	12.0%	-	-	18.
Change	9%	%	19.9	%	9%	2%		2.5%	%	%	%		5.7	32.7	3%
from			%										%	%	
Previous															
Cycle															
%	1.8														
Change	%														
from															
Previous															

Cycle - NV E															
Number of Transmi ssion Projects	13	1	13	1	1	18	36	0	9	0	57	5	1	0	0
MW Propose d Generati on (MW)	240 67	22 26	524	0	0	205 2	25 64	343	109 94	286 7	19		0	0	0
MW Propose d Retirem ents (MW)	923 8	0	0	0	0	232 6	18 06	0	432 3	217 2	0	370	0	0	0

1

2 Public Policy Requirements Summary

3 Approach

4 NorthernGrid evaluated regional transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements by first identifying

5 a list of enacted public policies that impact resource and local transmission plans in the NorthernGrid

6 planning region. This data was procured through the NorthernGrid data submission process and polling of

7 members to inquire about enacted policies that are driving their regional transmission needs. NorthernGrid

8 identified enacted public policies in the states within the NorthernGrid region.

9 Key Assumptions

- Enacted policies include local, state, and federal policies for the NorthernGrid member service area.
 Analysis focuses on enacted policies that address the type of energy portfolio to be delivered. Focus
- 12 is on staged policies through 2032.
- 13 Non-enacted policies are not included in the analysis.
- Policies pertaining to energy purchases or corporate goals are not included.
- WECC will provide an initial production cost model, but it is the responsibility of the NorthernGrid
 members to verify.
- Each member's Integrated Resource Planning process incorporates public policy and the
 NorthernGrid members evaluate their IRP to determine the data that is submitted.



1 Key Observations

- There are enacted policies in six of the eight states, including the Renewable Portfolio Standards
 (RPS) that exist in Washington, California, Oregon, Montana, Nevada, and Utah.
 - There are no identified public policy requirements that are driving regional transmission needs in Wyoming and Idaho.

6 Case Analysis

4

5

7 Methodology and Assumptions Overview

- 8 This methodology defines the analysis objectives, conditions (NorthernGrid transmission system
- 9 path stressing, power flow direction, imports/exports) necessary to assess the ability of the
- 10 transmission system to support the 2032 loads and resource, types of analysis, performance
- 11 criteria, paths to monitor, case checking and tuning (reactive devices, phase shifting
- 12 transformers) and contingencies. This process is designed to meet Order 890 and 1000 planning
- 13 requirements and is not intended to evaluate market efficiencies.

14 Analysis Objectives

- 15 Develop the NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan by assessing the existing system and
- 16 committed projects along with combinations of planned and proposed transmission and
- 17 resource changes for their ability to reliably serve the variations in 2032 loads and resource
- 18 generation dispatch conditions.

19 Change to Databases to be utilized

20 Performance Criteria

- 21 The power flow simulations will be monitored for compliance with the North American
- 22 Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard TPL-001-4 and WECC Criterion TPL-001-WECC-
- 23 CRT-3.2 and TOP specific standards. The reliability standard requires transmission facilities to operate
- 24 within normal and emergency limits. Then the criterion further defines the default base planning criteria
- 25 for steady-state, post-contingency, dip, and recovery voltage along with oscillation dampening. The
- 26 WECC criteria also allow for transmission planners to apply a more or less stringent criterion for their
- 27 own system provided they gain agreement or allowance, respectively as described in the criterion.
- 28 Additional NorthernGrid Member voltage criterion are listed in Appendix XXXX.

29 Base Case Conditions

- SUM: Summer Peak loading conditions. High southbound flows on the COI and PDCI. High eastbound
 Northwest to Idaho flows. Southbound MATL flows.
- 32 WIN: Winter Peak loading conditions. Typical seasonal dispatch for the generation resources.
- 33 Northbound MATL flows.

- 1 CAL-X: California export case. High northbound flows on the COI and PDCI.
- 2 WY: High Wyoming wind export case. Westbound flows coming out of Wyoming.
- 3

4 Evaluation of Regional Transmission Project Combinations

- 5 To determine whether transmission needs within the NorthernGrid may be satisfied by regional
- 6 transmission projects, NorthernGrid evaluates the proposed regional and interregional (if any)
- 7 transmission projects independently and in regional combinations. The regional combinations are
- 8 determined by the MPC based on their knowledge of the NorthernGrid Region. The regional
- 9 combinations are shown in Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations.
- 10

11 Impacts on Neighboring Regions

- 12 As stated above, the power flow cases represent the entire western interconnection. Therefore, during
- 13 the power flow analysis NorthernGrid will monitor for NERC standard and WECC criterion violations
- 14 occurring in the neighboring regions. Upon identification of a violation in a neighboring region,
- 15 NorthernGrid will coordinate with the region to confirm validity and whether the violation is due to an
- 16 existing condition. Mitigation plans for a violation will be determined in accordance with the
- 17 NorthernGrid Member tariffs and planning agreement.

18 Cost Allocation

19 Introduction

- 20 Regional project cost allocation is one of the FERC Order 1000 transmission planning reforms. The
- 21 NorthernGrid FERC jurisdictional entities, the Enrolled Parties, describe the requirements for a project in
- 22 their OATT Attachment K. The process begins with the sponsor/developer becoming qualified. The
- 23 following developers submitted information and were determined to be qualified.

24 Qualified Developers

- PowerBridge submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the CATF resulting in
 the approval of PowerBridge as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 27 Great Basin Transmission submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost
- Allocation Task Force resulting in the approval of Great Basin Transmission as a Qualified Developer for
- 29 this planning cycle. Great Basin Transmission submitted the SWIP North project.
- 30 TransCanyon submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- Task Force resulting in the approval of TransCanyon as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 32 TransCanyon submitted the Cross-Tie.
- 33

1 Benefits and Beneficiary Analysis

- 2 If the Sponsored Project is selected into the plan as meeting Enrolled Party or Parties need, the project
- 3 benefits and beneficiaries will be determined. The cost allocation metrics and analysis process is
- 4 described in each Enrolled Party's OATT Attachment K Regional Planning Process.

1 Appendix A: Generation Changes

2 Table 3: Generation Additions and Retirements by Utility

Utility	Generation Addition	Generation Retirement
AVA	0	0
BPA	524	0
CHPD	0	0
GCPD	0	0
IPC	2667	708
NV E	2564	1806
NWMT	343	0
PACE	10994	4323
PACW	2867	2031
PGE	19	0
PSE	4090	370
SCL	0	0
SNOPUD	0	0
TPWR	0	0
Total	24,067	9,238

3

Table 4: Generation Additions and Retirements by Type

Utility	AVA	BPA	IPC	NV E	NWMT	PACE	PACW	PGE	PSE	SCL	SNOPUD	TPWR	CHPD	GCPD
AB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BIT	0	0	0	0	0	2744	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DFO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEO	0	0	0	174	0	52	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0
LFG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MSW	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MWH	0	0	705	0	62	0	0	0	1037	0	0	0	0	0
NG	0	0	357	0	175	2175	0	0	492	0	0	0	0	0
NUC	0	0	0	0	0	462	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OBG	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ОТН	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOL	0	302	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUB	0	0	0	0	0	290	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUN	0	0	905	2390	105	5166	1512	0	911	0	0	0	0	0
WAT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18.9	0	0	0	0	0	0
WAVE	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WH	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WND	0	202	700	0	0	92	1355	0	1600	0	0	0	0	0

	Net winter canacity
Primary Fuel	The most predominant type of energy that fuels the generator:
rinnary ruei	ANT Anthracite Coal
	BIT Bituminous Coal
	LIG Lignite Coal
	SGC
	SUB Subbituminous Coal
	WC Waste/Other Coal (including anthracite culm, bituminous gob, fine coal, lignite waste, waste coal)
	RC Refined Coal
	DFO Distillate Fuel Oil (including diesel, No. 1, No. 2, and No. 4 fuel oils)
	JF Jet Fuel
	KER Kerosene
	PC Petroleum Coke
	PG Gaseous Propane
	RFO Residual Fuel Oil (No. 5, No. 6 fuel oils, and bunker C fuel oil)
	SGP Synthetic Gas from Petroleum Coke
	WO Waste/Other Oil (including crude oil, liquid butane, liquid propane, naphtha, oil waste, re-refined
	motor oil, sludge oil, tar oil, or other petroleum-based liquid wastes)
	BFG Blast Furnace Gas
	NG Natural Gas
	OG Other Gas (specify in comments)
	AB Agriculture Byproducts
	MSW Municipal Solid Waste
	OBS Other Biomass Solids (specify in comments)
	WDS Wood/Wood Waste Solids (including paper pellets, railroad ties, utility poles, wood chips, bark,
	and wood waste solids)
	OBL Other Biomass Liquids (specify in comments)
	SLW Sludge Waste
	BLQ Black Liquor
	WDL Wood Waste Liquids excluding Black Liquor (including red liquor, sludge wood, spent sulfite
	liquor, and other wood-based liquids)
	LFG Landhill Gas
	OBG Other Biomass Gas (including digester gas, methane, and other biomass gases; specify in the
	CUDI Color
	SUN Solar
	WIND Wind
	WAT Water at a Conventional Hydroalectric Turbing and water used in Wave Buoy Hydrokinetic
	Technology Current Hydrokinetic Technology and Tidal Hydrokinetic Technology: Pumping Energy for
	Patrasible (Pumpad Storage) Hudroalactics Turking
	NUC Nuclear (including Uranium, Plutonium, Thorium)
	PUR Purchased Steam
	WH Waste Heat not directly attributed to a fuel source
	TDFTire-Derived Fuels
	MWH Electricity used for energy storage
	OTH Other (specify in comments)
	UKN Unknown (specify in comments)

4 Figure 10: WECC Fuel Codes



1 Appendix B: Transmission Service

BA	Terminal From Location	Terminal To Location	Voltage Operating	Reserv ation	Ye ar	Reserv ation	Year
		Ì	(KV)			1	
PA CE	Windstar	Shirley Basin	230			11	2024
ΡΑ	Anticline	Populus Substation	500			12	2027
CE	Substation	•					(tentative)
PA	Populus (near	Midpoint (near	500			12	2030 (textetive
CE	Downey), ID	Shoshone), ID)
ΡΑ	Populus (near	Hemmingway (near	500			12	2030
CE	Downey), ID	Murphy), ID					(tentative)
PA CE	Aeolus, near Medicine Bow, WY	Clover, near Mona, UT	500			10	2024
IPC O	Walters Ferry, ID	Boardman, OR	500	6	20 13	6	2026
CH PD	Rocky Reach	Chelan	100-120	1	19 18	4	2023
PG N	Salem, OR	Woodburn, OR	230	12	20 24	12	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	230	3	20 22	10	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	230	3	20 22	5	2024
PG N	Portland, OR	Beaverton, OR	230	3	20 21	10	2024
PG N	Portland, OR	Rainier, OR	230	12	20 20	10	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	230	5	20 25	5	2024
PG N	Oregon City, OR	Woodburn, OR	230	12	20 24	12	2024
PG	Salem, OR	Woodburn, OR	115	12	20	12	2027
IN DC					27	-	2007
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	4	20 26	4	2027
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	3	20 21	4	2022
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Beaverton, OR	115	3	20 21	7	2022
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	12	20 22	5	2023



Draft 2022-2023 Study Scope

PG N	Oregon City, OR	Oregon City, OR	115	11	20 22	11	2027
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	6	20 19	7	2026
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	3	20 22	6	2022
PG N	Clackamas, OR	Gresham, OR	115	11	20 29	11	2029
PG N	Clackamas, OR	Oregon City, OR	115	11	20 22	11	2027
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	4	20 21	6	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	12	20 22	6	2025
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	4	20 21	6	2024
PG N	Salem, OR	Salem, OR	115	12	20 27	12	2027
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	4	20 22	5	2022
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	6	20 19	4	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	12	20 23	11	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	3	20 22	5	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	11	20 24	11	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	3	20 22	11	2024
PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	3	20 22	5	2024
PG N	Gresham, OR	Gresham, OR	115	12	20 24	11	2029
PG N	Gresham, OR	Portland, OR	115	3	20 21	4	2026
PG N	Portland, OR	St Helens, OR	115	6	20 25	6	2025
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	10	20 21	9	2022
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	6	20 19	11	2025
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	3	20 21	4	2026
PG N	St Helens, OR	St Helens, OR	115	6	20 25	6	2025



Draft 2022-2023 Study Scope

PG N	Hillsboro, OR	Hillsboro, OR	115	12	20 22	4	2027
PG N	Oregon City, OR	Tualatin, OR	115	3	20 25	4	2025
PG N	Wilsonville, OR	Sherwood, OR	115	12	20 24	12	2024
PG N	Wilsonville, OR	Wilsonville, OR	115	12	20 24	12	2024
PG N	Tualatin, OR	Tualatin, OR	115	3	20 24	4	2024
PG N	Woodburn, OR	Salem, OR	115	9	20 25	9	2025
PG N	Woodburn, OR	Woodburn, OR	115	1	20 27	1	2027
PG N	Woodburn, OR	Woodburn, OR	115	3	20 26	3	2026
PG N	Woodburn, OR	Woodburn, OR	115	1	20 27	1	2027
PG N	Portland, OR	Portland, OR	115	4	20 22	5	2022
PG N	Aloha, OR	Beaverton, OR	115	9	20 24	9	2024
PG N	West Linn, OR	Wilsonville, OR	115	3	20 25	4	2025
PG N	Sherwood, OR	Tualatin, OR	115	3	20 24	4	2024
PG N	Woodburn, OR	Salem, OR	115	3	20 26	3	2026
PG N	Beaverton, OR	Portland, OR	115	3	20 21	11	2025
SCL	Mass	Denny	115			9	2025
PS El	Sammamish WA	Renton WA	230	1042			
PS EI	Sammamish WA	Renton WA	230	1042			
PS El	Sedro Woolley WA	Bellingham WA	115	336			
PS El	Electron, WA	Enumclaw, WA	115	336			

- .

1 Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations

RC Name	Seasonal										to			
	Case			0.3	E	E	D.1	ш	N	<u>∧</u>	link	z	alls	
		Š	32H	Ž	Š	ş	Ž	WS	HZ	Z Y	ie (VIP.	0 E	ΊAΤ
		•	-	Š	Š	Š	۶ S	σ	0	IND	ss-t	S	Loc	2
										Ŭ	CC			
BLMP – Baseline	SUM, WIN,		х	х	х	х	х		х	х				х
Member Projects	CAL-X, WY													
BLNP – Baseline No	SUM, WIN,													
Projects	CAL-X, WY													
RC1	SUM, WIN,	х												
	CAL-X, WY													
RC2	SUM, WIN,		х											
	CAL-X, WY													
RC3	SUM, WIN,			х										
	CAL-X, WY													
RC4	SUM, WIN,					х								
	CAL-X, WY													
RC5	SUM, WIN,						Х							
DCC	CAL-X, WY													
KLD	SUIVI, WIIN,								х					
PC7										v				
	$C\Delta I - X W Y$									^				
RC8											x			
	CAL-X. WY										~			
RC9	SUM. WIN.											х		
	CAL-X, WY													
RC10	SUM, WIN,													х
	CAL-X, WY													
RC11	SUM, WIN,	х	х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	х	х	х
	CAL-X, WY													
RC12	SUM, WIN,		х	х		х	х							
	CAL-X, WY													
RC13	SUM, WIN,	х	х	х		х	х							
	CAL-X, WY													
RC14	SUM, WIN,		х	х		х	х					х		
	CAL-X, WY													
RC15	SUM, WIN,	х	х	х		х	х					Х		
	CAL-X, WY													
RC16	SUM, WIN,		х	х	х		х							
	CAL-X, WY													
RC17	SUM, WIN,		х	х		х	х							х
	CAL-X, WY													

RC18	SUM, WIN,					х	х	х	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC19	SUM, WIN,						х	х	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC20	SUM, WIN,					х		х	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC21	SUM, WIN,					х	х		х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC22	SUM, WIN,					x	х	x			
	CAL-X, WY										
RC23	SUM, WIN,						х	x			
	CAL-X, WY										
RC24	SUM, WIN,						х		х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC25	SUM, WIN,				х	x	х	x	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC26	SUM, WIN,					х	х				
	CAL-X, WY										
RC27	SUM, WIN,				х		х	х	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC28	SUM, WIN,				х	х		х	х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC29	SUM, WIN,				х	х	х		х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC30	SUM, WIN,				х	х	х	х			
	CAL-X, WY										
RC31	SUM, WIN,				х		х	х			
	CAL-X, WY										
RC32	SUM, WIN,				x		х		х		
	CAL-X, WY										
RC33	SUM, WIN,				х	х	х				
	CAL-X, WY										
RC34	SUM, WIN	х	х								
RC35	SUM, WIN,									х	х
	CAL-X. WY										



1 Appendix D: Breakdown of resources by Type and County



Draft 2022-2023 Study Scope

Sum of Nameplate (MN	W) Column Labels 🗾														
Row Labels	<u>▼</u> SUN	BIT C	GEO MSV	MMH	NG	NUC	OBG	SOL	SUB	WAT	WAVE	WH	WND	WND	Grand Total
⊟ID	1505			705		462	2						300		2974
Ada	370			275											645
Bonneville						462									462
Elmore	320			260											580
Gooding	215						-								215
Jerrerson				170			2								170
Bower				170									200		200
(blank)	600												300		600
	105			67	175								400		743
Rosebud	105			U.L.	133								200		200
Wheatland													200		200
Yellowstone	105			62	58										226
(blank)					117										117
⊡NV	2390		174												2564
Churchill			59												59
Clark	1390														1390
Humboldt	600														600
Lander			70												70
Washoe			45												45
Washoe	400														400
BOR	727							302		19	20		202	1195	2465
Clackamas										19					19
Gilliam													202		202
Klamath	58														58
Lincoln											20				20
IVIOR TOW								20							08
Snerman								20							20
(blank)	660							202						1105	1864
	5146	2744	52	2	2175							10		1155	10129
Beaver		_,	52	-											52
Box Elder	80														80
Cache					5										5
Carbon	80														80
Davis				2											2
Emery	40	2263													2303
Iron	200														200
Juab					567										567
Salt Lake					217										217
Tooele	155														155
Uintah		481													481
Utah	106				1386										1492
Washington	4405											10			10
(blank)	4485		50	1077	403								000	100	4485
Garfield County	0601		30	1037	492								800	100	CEDE
Kitcan					237								800		300
Klickitat	399				237										399
N. King	240			299											539
Pierce	259		50	200	18										527
S. King				341											341
Thurston	13				237										250
Whatcom				198											198
Yakima	5														5
(blank)	180													160	340
□WY	20				357				290				892		1559
Campbell									290						290
Carbon													475		475
Lincoln	20														20
Natrona													17		17
Sweetwater					357								400		757
Grand Total	10989	2744	276	2 1804	3199	462	2	302	290	19	20	10	2594	1355	24067