Proposed Study Scope for the 2024-2025 MorthernGrid Planning Cycle

1

2

5

6

Member Planning Committee Approval Date: TBD

1 Executive Summary

- 2 This Study Scope outlines the NorthernGrid 2024-2025 regional transmission planning process, as
- 3 required under FERC Orders No. 890 and 1000, in accordance with each Enrolled Party's Open
- 4 Access Tariff (OATT) Attachment K Regional Planning Process and NorthernGrid Planning
- 5 Agreement.
- 6
- 7 The NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan evaluates whether transmission needs within the

8 NorthernGrid may be satisfied by regional and/or interregional transmission projects. The NorthernGrid

9 Regional Transmission Plan provides valuable regional insight and information for all stakeholders to

- 10 consider and use in their respective decision-making processes.
- 11
- The study scope for NorthernGrid's 2024-2025 Regional Transmission Plan was developed using thefollowing process:
- Identification of the Baseline Projects of Enrolled Parties. Baseline Projects are the transmission
 projects included in the Enrolled Parties' Local Transmission Plans.
- Evaluation of combinations of the Enrolled Parties Baseline Projects and Alternative Projects to
 identify whether there may be a combination that effectively satisfies all Enrolled Party Needs.
- Use of Power flow and production cost analysis techniques to determine if the modeled
 transmission system topology meets the system reliability performance requirements and
 transmission needs.
- Selection of the Regional Combination that effectively satisfies all Enrolled Party Needs into the
 NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan.
- 23
- 24 Overview of Key Observations:
- 25 <u>Regional Summary of Needs</u>

The regional needs were sourced from member data submissions, including load forecasts, resource
 additions and retirements, anticipated transmission topology, and public policy requirements. Data

28 submissions were received from NorthernGrid's 13 members:

- Avista (AVA)
- 30 Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)
- Chelan PUD (CHPD),
- 32 Idaho Power Company (IPC)
- Montana Alberta Tie Line (MATL)
- NV Energy (NVE)
- 35 NorthWestern Energy (NWMT)
- PacifiCorp East and West (PACE and PACW)
- Portland General Electric (PGE)
- Puget Sound Energy (PSE)

1 Seattle City Light (SCL) 2 Snohomish PUD (SNPD) • 3 Tacoma Power (TPWR) • Summary of NorthernGrid Data Submittals for the 2034 future. 4 5 • The total NorthernGrid footprint, non-coincident peak load is 61,867 MW in July 6 There are 2,611 MW of planned retirements • 7 There are 72,099 MW of planned generation additions • 8 All non-regional projects will be listed in Appendix B: Local Transmission Projects. ٠ 9 • These are the Member-Driven Regional Transmission Projects 10 Greenlink West 345 kV Greenlink West 500 kV 11 12 Greenlink North 500 kV o MATL 230 kV 13 14 One Nevada #2 500 kV 15 Gateway West: Anticline – Populus 500kV 0 16 • Gateway West: (Segment E Phase 1) Populus – Cedar Hill 500kV, Cedar Hill – Midpoint 17 500kV, and Midpoint – Hemingway #2 500kV. Gateway West: (Segment E Phase 2) Populus – Borah 500kV, Borah – Midpoint 500kV, 18 0 19 and Cedar Hill – Hemingway 500kV. 20 Blueprint 0 21 Bonanza/John Day to Bonanza 0 22 • Bethel-Round Butte 23 There are two Non-incumbent Regional projects 24 • Cascade Renewable Transmission System 25 • Cross-Tie 26 There are two Non-Incumbent Interregional projects • 27 Sagebrush 230 kV/500 kV • Western Bounty Project 500 kV (DC) 28 29 Case Analysis 30 The NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan will assess the existing transmission system and 31 committed projects against combinations of planned and proposed transmission projects to 32 compare their ability to reliably serve the forecasted 2034 load and generation dispatch 33 conditions. 34 35 The NorthernGrid study effort will utilize a combination of posted power flow and production 36 cost base cases from the Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC); these cases will be 37 modified for the purposes of this study. The hourly output from the production cost modeling 38 run will be used to select stressed conditions in the Western Interconnection for reliability 39 analysis. Multiple stress conditions will be analyzed for the 2024-2025 planning effort. Historical 40 flows in the interconnection suggest east to west from Idaho/Montana/Wyoming and north to south into southern California are of interest. Recent operations suggest that flows in the 41 42 opposite direction occur frequently enough to warrant analysis. Typically, a heavy summer



- 1 condition results in thermal overloads and a light spring condition results in voltage excursions;
- 2 both conditions will get captured in this 2024-2025 analysis.
- 3
- 4 Cost Allocation
- 5 PowerBridge submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- 6 Task Force resulting in the approval of PowerBridge as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 7 PowerBridge submitted the Cascade Renewable project.
- 8 TransCanyon submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- 9 Task Force resulting in the approval of TransCanyon as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle.
- 10 TransCanyon Transmission submitted the Cross-Tie project.
- 11

12 Table of Contents

13 Contents

14	Executive Summary2
15	Overview of Key Observations:
16	Table of Contents 4
17	Introduction and Purpose Statement5
18	Regional Transmission Plan Development6
19	Regional Transmission Plan Development Process Overview6
20	General Schedule and Deliverables6
21	Stakeholder Engagement7
22	Regional Summary of Needs7
23	Current and Committed Project Transmission System7
24	Data Submission Summary9
25	Local Transmission Projects
26	Loads Summary10
27	Resources Summary 2024-203411
28	Transmission Service Obligations11
29	Regional and Interregional Transmission Projects12
30	Member-Driven Transmission Projects12
31	Non-Incumbent Transmission Projects13
32	Alternative Projects

1	Public Policy Requirements Summary14
2	Approach14
3	Key Observations14
4	Case Analysis
5	Methodology and Assumptions Overview15
6	Analysis Objectives15
7	Performance Criteria15
8	Base Case Conditions15
9	Evaluation of Regional Transmission Project Combinations16
10	Impacts on Neighboring Regions16
11	Cost Allocation
12	Introduction
13	Qualified Developers16
14	Benefits and Beneficiary Analysis16
15	Appendix A: Generation Changes17
16	Appendix B: Local Transmission Projects
17	Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations19
18	Appendix D: Resource changes by State20
19	

- 15
- 20

21 Introduction and Purpose Statement

The objective of the transmission planning study is to produce the NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan, through the evaluation and selection of regional and interregional projects that effectively satisfy

all the transmission needs within the NorthernGrid regional The regional needs were sourced from

25 member data submissions, including load forecasts, resource additions and retirements, projected

- 26 transmission, and public policy requirements.
- 27 The committees for NorthernGrid are as follows:
- The Member Committee (MC) is composed of NorthernGrid member representatives. The MC is
 responsible for membership approval, budget development and approval, and vendor
 management.
- The Member Planning Committee (MPC) is composed of transmission planner representatives
 from all NorthernGrid members. The MPC is responsible for development of the Regional
 Transmission Plan.



1	٠	The Enrolled Parties Planning Committee is composed of Federal Energy Regulatory								
2		Commission (FERC) jurisdictional utilities. Collectively these members are responsible for								
3		regional transmission planning compliance. There are two sub-committees of this primary								
4		committee:								
5		 The Enrolled Parties and States Committee (EPSC) is responsible for state engagement 								
6		in the regional transmission planning process.								
7		 The Cost Allocation Task Force (CATF) is composed of enrolled parties and states 								
8		representatives and is responsible for cost allocation compliance.								
9										

10 Regional Transmission Plan Development

11 Regional Transmission Plan Development Process Overview

12 NorthernGrid began the process to develop a regional transmission plan by requesting members to

13 submit data pertaining to forecasted loads, resource additions and retirements, transmission additions

14 and upgrades, and public policy requirements. The plan spans the 2024-2034 time period.

- 15 The Regional Transmission Plan will be developed over the course of two years, beginning March 31,
- 16 2024 and ending December 31, 2025. A summary of the key deliverables in Year 1 and Year 2 is included
- 17 below. Deliverables not defined by Attachment K are subject to change.
- 18

19 General Schedule and Deliverables

20





1 Stakeholder Engagement

- 2 Stakeholders are invited to participate in the public meetings and comment periods. They will also have
- 3 active involvement in the development of the Regional Transmission Plan. The first period for
- 4 stakeholder comments begins with the publishing of the Draft Study Scope. There are three main
- 5 opportunities to provide comment, and they are in response to the following publications: the Draft
- 6 Study Scope, the Draft Regional Transmission Plan, and the Draft Final Regional Transmission Plan.
- 7

8 Regional Summary of Needs

- 9 Current and Committed Project Transmission System
- 10 The NorthernGrid system is depicted below in Figure 2: NorthernGrid Balancing Authority Areas and the
- existing transmission is depicted in Figure 3: Existing and Committed Project NorthernGrid transmission.
- 12 The Boardman to Hemingway 500kV project (B2H) and the Gateway South transmission projects are
- 13 included in Figure 3 because they are Committed Projects and will be assumed in-service for the
- 14 planning analysis.

Draft 2024-2025 Study Scope









1

3 Data Submission Summary

- 4 This section summarizes the data submission results that NorthernGrid received from its 13 members.
- 5 The NorthernGrid is made up of Avista (AVA), Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), Chelan PUD
- 6 (CHPD), Idaho Power Company (IPC), Montana Alberta Tie Line (MATL), NV Energy (NVE), NorthWestern
- 7 Energy (NWMT), PacifiCorp East and West (PACE and PACW), Portland General Electric (PGE), Puget
- 8 Sound Energy (PSE), Seattle City Light (SCL), Snohomish PUD (SNPD), and Tacoma Power (TPWR). The
- 9 member Balancing Authority Areas (BAA) are illustrated in Figure 2.
- 10 The NorthernGrid members that are registered as Balancing Authority Areas are required to submit a
- 11 ten-year load and resource forecast to the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) annually.
- 12 This forecast includes identification of forecasted generation resources and transmission facilities.
- 13 NorthernGrid leverages this submission for the biennial Regional Transmission Plan. Each member
- submitted their data and NorthernGrid summarized the data pertinent to the NorthernGrid region: load,
- 15 generation resource retirements, generation resource additions, and 230 kV and above transmission
- 16 additions. Resource additions do not necessarily reflect *planned* resource additions but may represent
- 17 *conceptual* resource needs required to meet public policy goals. Conceptual resource needs are based

² Figure 3: Existing NorthernGrid transmission



- 1 on the existing Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) preferred portfolios and may change during subsequent
- 2 Biennial Planning Cycles.
- 3

4 Local Transmission Projects

- 5 The NorthernGrid members have projected the need for new and upgraded transmission system
- 6 projects in the local transmission planning processes. These projects primarily support local load service
- 7 and reliability and have not been deemed to be "regionally significant". These projects are listed in
- 8 Appendix B: Regionally Significant Transmission Projects.

9 Loads Summary

10 Table 1: NorthernGria Loaas

	NG Study Cycle 2022-2023 (MW)	NG Study Cycle 2024-2025 (MW)	%Increase
Jan	49,264	55,235	12%
Feb	47,454	53,645	13%
Mar	44,994	49,991	11%
Apr	42,608	48,057	13%
Мау	44,277	48,463	9%
Jun	51,652	59,093	14%
Jul	54,887	61,867	13%
Aug	53,900	61,244	14%
Sep	47,818	54,490	14%
Oct	43,769	49,474	13%
Νον	45,409	52,558	16%
Dec	49,564	55,787	13%

11 Table 1: NorthernGrid Loads represents the cumulative non-coincident peak load for each of the

12 utilities that make up the NorthernGrid footprint. Overall, the NorthernGrid footprint load for 2034 is

13 expected to be approximately 13% higher than the updated load prediction for 2032. The loading

14 condition for NorthernGrid occurs in the summer which is consistent with the 2022-2023 cycle.

1 Resources Summary 2024-2034

2 There are approximately 72 GW of resources being developed within the NorthernGrid region along

- 3 with approximately 2.5 GW of resources being retired.
- 4 All future resources are based on member resource planning processes. The Enrolled Parties determine
- 5 resource additions through the development of their Loads and Resources needed for base case
- 6 development. In some instances, the Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) requirements needed to meet
- 7 state mandate may inform the development of the Loads and Resources data. Many of the resource
- 8 additions presented are based on the existing IRP preferred portfolio which may change during
- 9 subsequent biennial planning cycles. Members may include conceptual resource additions beyond what
- 10 is included in their Loads and Resources submittal to more closely align resource needs with goals set
- 11 forth by public policy requirements.

FuelType	Addition (MW)	Retirement (MW)
Battery Storage	20,632	
Biodiesel	711	
Blast Furnace Gas	118	
Coal	99	2,116
Geothermal	123	70
Landfill Gas		15
Natural Gas	4,083	118
Non-Emitting	1,214	
Nuclear	1,997	
Pumped Storage	853	
Solar	22,259	126
Solid Waste	5	
Water	1,006	17
Wind	18,999	149
Grand Total	72,099	2,611

12 Table 2: Generation Changes for the NorthernGrid Footprint

13

14

15

16 Transmission Service Obligations

17 Like loads, resources, and public policy, transmission service obligations may drive transmission

18 development. The NorthernGrid members are encouraged to submit all transmission service data that is

used in the development of their local transmission plan so that it may be considered during the

20 development of the Regional Transmission Plan. A complete summary of the firm transmission service

agreements is provided in Appendix B: Transmission Service.

1 Regional and Interregional Transmission Projects

2 Enrolled Parties as well as Developers submitted the regional projects depicted below.



3

4 Figure 4: Submitted Regional and Interregional Transmission Projects

5 Member-Driven Transmission Projects

6 The projects submitted by the Enrolled Parties are as follows:

7 Gateway West- A suite of seven project segments will be evaluated for Gateway West. These are:

- 8 Populus-Cedar Hill 500kV
- 9 Cedar Hill Hemingway 500 kV
- 10 Populus Borah 500kV
- 11 Borah Midpoint 500kV
- 12 Midpoint Hemingway #2 500kV
- 13 Midpoint-Cedar Hill 500 kV
- 14 Anticline-Populus 500 kV
- 15
- For analysis the Gateway West segments west of Populus (Segments E) will be grouped into twophases:



- Gateway West Segment E Phase 1: Populus Cedar Hill 500kV, Cedar Hill Midpoint 500kV, and
 Midpoint Hemingway #2 500kV
- Gateway West Segment E Phase 2: Populus Borah 500kV, Borah Midpoint 500kV, and Cedar
 Hill Hemingway 500kV
- One Nevada #2- 500 kV #2 from Harry Allen to Robinson Summit. Also includes upgrades to the 345 kV
 system.
- 8 Greenlink West and north projects.
- 9 MATL- MATL proposed a conversion of the MATL to direct current. The rating will increase to a
- 10 maximum of 500 MW.

- 11 Bonanza/John Day Bonanza New 500 kV Bonanza substation with connection to the John Day 500 kV
- 12 Blueprint 500kV Projects Construct approximately 170 miles of new 500 kV transmission between Snow
- 13 Goose substation near Klamath Falls, Oregon and the new Full Circle substation near Redmond, Oregon.
- 14 Construct approximately 150 miles of new 500 kV transmission between Full Circle substation near
- 15 Redmond, Oregon and Apex substation near Boardman, Oregon
- 16 Bethel Round Butte Rebuild and expand existing 98 mile Bethel-Round Butte 230 kV transmission line to
- 17 500 kV, connecting the new Lambert substation near Salem, Oregon to the new Mountain View
- 18 substation near Madras, Oregon.
- **19** Non-Incumbent Transmission Projects
- 20 The NorthernGrid regional planning process allows non-incumbent and merchant transmission
- 21 developers to submit projects for analysis. Several non-incumbent or merchant transmission projects
- 22 were received during the submission period. They are further classified into regional and interregional
- transmission projects based on whether the project terminals are within the region or interconnect
- 24 between regions, i.e. interregional.
- 25 Cascade Renewable Transmission System- PowerBridge is proposing to construct the Cascade
- 26 Renewable Transmission System Project. This Project is a 100-mile, 1,100 MW transfer capacity +/- 400
- 27 kV HVDC underground cable (95 percent installed underwater) interconnecting with the grid through
- 28 two +/- 1100 MW AC/DC converter stations interconnecting with the AC grid at Big Eddy and Harborton
- 29 substations. There are no proposed generation resources associated with the transmission line.
- 30 PowerBridge is a Qualified Developer seeking Regional Cost Allocation.
- 31 Cross-Tie Transmission Project- TransCanyon LLC is proposing the Cross-Tie Project, a 1,500 MW, 500 kV
- 32 single circuit HVAC transmission project that will be constructed between central Utah and east-central
- 33 Nevada. The project connects PacifiCorp's planned 500-kV Clover substation with NV Energy's existing
- 34 500 kV Robinson Summit substation; both substations reside in the NorthernGrid footprint.
- 35 TransCanyon is a Qualified Developer seeking Regional Cost Allocation.
- 36 Western Bounty Project- ENGIE North America is proposing the Western Bounty Transmission System
- 37 project which is an interregional, +/- 525 kV HVDC transmission system that would enable 12 gigawatts
- of transmission capacity between the central 'hub' in Nevada and the project's 4 termination points:
- 39 SCE's Lugo-Vincent 500 kV line and LADWP's Adelanto Substation in California, BPA's Grizzly Substation



- 1 in Oregon, and Idaho Power's Hemmingway Substation in Idaho. ENGIE North America is not seeking
- 2 Interregional Cost Allocation.
- 3 SageBrush Project- GridLiance is proposing an interregional project with upgrades in the southern
- 4 portion of Nevada between Nevada and California. GridLiance is not seeking Interregional Cost
- 5 Allocation.
- 6 Alternative Projects
- 7 The Enrolled Parties Planning Committee did not identify any Alternative Projects: no Alternative
- 8 Projects were carried over from the 2022-2023 cycle and no new Alternative Projects were submitted at
- 9 the beginning of the 2024-2025 planning cycle.

10 Public Policy Requirements Summary

11 Approach

- 12 NorthernGrid evaluated regional transmission needs driven by Public Policy Requirements by first
- 13 identifying a list of enacted public policies that impact resource and local transmission plans in the
- 14 NorthernGrid planning region. This data was procured through the NorthernGrid data submission
- 15 process and polling of members to inquire about enacted policies that are driving their regional
- 16 transmission needs. NorthernGrid identified enacted public policies in the states within the
- 17 NorthernGrid region.
- 18 Key Assumptions
- Enacted policies include local, state, and federal policies for the NorthernGrid member service
 area.
- Analysis focuses on enacted policies (through 2034) that address the type of energy portfolio to
 be delivered.
- Non-enacted policies are not included in the analysis.
- Policies pertaining to energy purchases or corporate goals are not included.
- WECC will provide an initial production cost model, but it is the responsibility of the
 NorthernGrid members to verify.
- Each member's IRP process incorporates public policy and the NorthernGrid members evaluate
 their IRP to determine the data that is submitted.

29 Key Observations

- There are enacted policies in six of the eight states, including the Renewable Portfolio Standards
 (RPS) that exist in Washington, California, Oregon, Montana, Nevada, and Utah.
- There are no identified public policy requirements that are driving regional transmission needs
 in Wyoming and Idaho.

1 Case Analysis

2 Methodology and Assumptions Overview

- 3 This methodology defines the analysis objectives, conditions (NorthernGrid transmission system
- 4 path stressing, power flow direction, imports/exports) necessary to assess the ability of the
- 5 transmission system to support the 2034 loads and resource, types of analysis, performance
- 6 criteria, paths to monitor, case checking and tuning (reactive devices, phase shifting
- 7 transformers) and contingencies. This process is designed to meet Order 890 and 1000 planning
- 8 requirements and is not intended to evaluate market efficiencies.

9 Analysis Objectives

- 10 The NorthernGrid Regional Transmission Plan will assess the existing transmission system and
- 11 committed projects against combinations of planned and proposed transmission projects to
- 12 compare their ability to reliably serve the forecasted 2034 load and generation dispatch
- 13 conditions.
- 14

15 Performance Criteria

- 16 The power flow simulations will be monitored for compliance with the North American
- 17 Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard TPL-001-5 and WECC Criterion TPL-001-WECC-
- 18 CRT-4 and TOP specific standards. The reliability standard requires transmission facilities to operate
- 19 within normal and emergency limits. The criterion further defines the default base planning criteria for
- 20 steady-state, post-contingency, dip, and recovery voltage along with oscillation dampening. The WECC
- criteria also allow for transmission planners to apply a more or less stringent criterion for their own
- 22 system provided they gain agreement or allowance, respectively.

23 Base Case Conditions

The following conditions have been identified as being of the most interest to the NorthernGrid footprint:

26	1.	Heavy system loading, lower renewable generation output	1/2/34, 22:00
27	2.	North to South, East to West interface flows	9/5/34, 18:00
28	3.	Low Hydro/End of Summer conditions	8/11/34, 17:00
29	4.	East to West interface flows	11/16/34, 15:00
30	5.	West to East interface flows	8/21/34, 07:00
31	6.	South to North interface flows	3/9/34, 21:00
32	7.	Summer peak loading condition	7/9/34, 14:00
33	8.	Winter peak loading condition	12/6/34, 10:00
34	9.	WOCN	6/18/34, 16:00

1 Evaluation of Regional Transmission Project Combinations

- 2 To determine whether transmission needs within the NorthernGrid may be satisfied by regional
- 3 transmission projects, NorthernGrid evaluates the proposed regional and interregional (if any)
- 4 transmission projects independently and in regional combinations. The regional combinations are
- 5 determined by the MPC based on their knowledge of the NorthernGrid Region. The regional
- 6 combinations are shown in Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations.
- 7

8 Impacts on Neighboring Regions

- 9 As stated above, the power flow cases represent the entire western interconnection. Therefore, during
- 10 the power flow analysis NorthernGrid will monitor for NERC standard and WECC criterion violations
- 11 occurring in the neighboring regions. Upon identification of a violation in a neighboring region,
- 12 NorthernGrid will coordinate with the region to confirm validity and whether the violation is due to an
- existing condition. Mitigation plans for a violation will be determined in accordance with the
- 14 NorthernGrid Member tariffs and planning agreement.

15 Cost Allocation

16 Introduction

- 17 Regional project cost allocation is one of the FERC Order 1000 transmission planning reforms. The
- 18 NorthernGrid FERC jurisdictional entities, the Enrolled Parties, describe the requirements for a project in
- 19 their OATT Attachment K. The process begins with the sponsor/developer becoming qualified. The
- 20 following developers submitted information and were determined to be qualified.

21 Qualified Developers

- 22 PowerBridge submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the Cost Allocation
- 23 Task Force (CATF) resulting in the approval of PowerBridge as a Qualified Developer for this planning
- 24 cycle. PowerBridge submitted the Cascade Renewable Transmission System project.
- 25 TransCanyon submitted developer qualification information which was reviewed by the CATF resulting
- 26 in the approval of TransCanyon as a Qualified Developer for this planning cycle. TransCanyon submitted
- 27 the Cross-Tie project.

28 Benefits and Beneficiary Analysis

- 29 If the Sponsored Project is selected into the plan as meeting Enrolled Party or Parties need, the project
- 30 benefits and beneficiaries will be determined. The cost allocation metrics and analysis process is
- 31 described in each Enrolled Party's OATT Attachment K Regional Planning Process.

1 Appendix A: Generation Changes

2 Table 3: Generation Additions and Retirements by Utility

NorthernGrid Entity		
	Addition (MW)	Retirement (MW)
BPAT		
	404	
IPCO		842
	4,888	
NEVP		1,073
	8,222	
NWMT		
	2,679	
PACE		326
	34,100	
PACW		
	11,586	
PGN		
	2,705	
PSEI		370
	6,558	
SCL		
	932	
SNPD		
	25	
Grand Total		2,611
	72,099	

1 Appendix B: Local Transmission Projects

Owner	Project Name
IPCO	Boardman-Hemingway (B2H) Project
IPCO	Gateway West Transm. (Segment 9) NG - Phase 2
IPCO	Gateway West Transm. (Segment 7) NG - Phase 1
IPCO	Gateway West Transm. (Segment 8) NG - Phase 1
IPCO	Gateway West Transm. (Segment 10) NG - Phase 1
IPCO	Gateway West Transm. (Segment 6) NG- Phase 2
NEVP	Harry Allen - Northwest #2 400-599kV
	(Portion of Greenlink west)
NEVP	Harry Allen / Eldorado 400-599kV #1 (SNIP)
NEVP	Northwest - Ft. Churchill 500 kV (Greenlink West)
NEVP	Ft. Churchill to Mira Loma 345kV
NEVP	Ft. Churchill to Comstock Meadows 345kV
NEVP	Ft Churchill - Robinson Summmit 525kV (Greenlink North)
NEVP	Harry Allen - Robinson Summit 525kV #2 (ON line #2)
NEVP	Robinson Summit - Clover 525 kV (Cross tie)
NEVP	Shaffer Substation
NEVP	Tracy E - Lantern
NEVP	Lantern - Valmy
NEVP	Fort Churchill - Captain Jack (Greenlink 3)
NEVP	Lantern – Comstock Meadows 345 kV line
NEVP	Ft. Churchill to Comstock Meadows 345kV #1 (Second 345kV line)
PACW	Apex to B2H Switching Station 500 kV Line (apex to Maverick)
PACW	Snow Goose-Corral Annex 500 kV Line (Blueprint South)
PACW	Corral Annex-Apex 500 kV Line (Blueprint North)

2



Draft 2024-2025 Study Scope

1 Appendix C: Full list of the Regional Combinations

Regional Combination	All Greenlink Projects	MATL	One Nevada #2	Gateway West, Anticline- Populus	Gateway West, Populus- Cedar Hill-Midpoint-	Gateway West, Populus Borah, Cedar Hill-	Cascade Renewable Transmission System	Clover-Robinson-Cross Tie	Sagebrush	Western Bounty	Blueprint	Bonanza/John Day to Bonanza	Bethel Round Butte (Lambert Mountain View)
1													
2	х	х	х	х	х	х					х	х	х
3	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х			х	х	х
4	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
5							х						
6								х					
7	х		х					х					
8	х		х					х	х	х			
9	х		х										
10	х												
11	х							х					
12				х	х								
13				х	х	х							
14				х									
15				х	х	х				х			
16	х		х	х	х	х							
17	х	х	х	х	х	х		х					
18	х	х	х	х	х	х	х						x
19								х					х
20	х	х	х	х	х	х					х		
21	х	х	х	х	х	х						х	
22	х	х	х	х	х	х							х



1 Appendix D: Resource changes by State

	Fuel Type	CA	ID	MT	NV	OR	UT	WA	WY	Grand Total
	Battery Storage		4,012	303	3,208	3,438	3,710	2,921	3,041	20,632
	Biodie sel							711		711
	Blast Furnace Gas				118					118
	Coal								99	99
	Geother	mal	30		93					123
_	Natural	Gas		293	705		858		2,227	4,083
dditio	Non-Em	itting							1,214	1,214
A	Nucle ar		462				690		845	1,997
	Pumped Storage			653		200				853
	Solar		4,225	425	3,243	2,763	7,980	2,349	1,274	22,259
	Solid Waste				5					5
	Water				1,000	6				1,006
	Wind		1,115	2,491		3,687		2,770	8,935	18,999
	Coal			370	712				1,034	2,116
	Geothermal				70					70
ent	Landfill Gas				15					15
stirem	Natural	Gas			118					118
R	Solar				126					126
	Water	4			13					17
	Wind				149					149

2