

NorthernGrid Process for Submitting a non-incumbent Transmission Project for Consideration At the beginning of each planning cycle, and before March 31st of every even calendar year, an ITP proponent, or Merchant Transmission Developer, may propose a Sponsored Project for consideration in the relevant planning cycle. At a minimum, the Project Sponsor must submit the information in Table A.

| Table A. Project Data | | Everyone Except Merchant | Merchant Transmission |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Requirements | Data/Information to be Provided | Transmission Developers | Developers |
| Requirements | Proposed project name of Project Sponsor; if project is an | Transmission Developers | Developers |
| | unsponsored project, please clearly label project as | | |
| | | Y | Y |
| В | "Unsponsored" Whether or not project is claimed to be a Committeed Project | Y | Y |
| в С | | Y | N |
| L | Whether Project Sponsor intends to request cost allocation | Ŷ | N |
| | Identify the Enrolled Party Needs to be addressed and whether | | |
| | any such Enrolled Party Needs are driven by Public Policy | | |
| D | Requirements | Y | Optional |
| E | Identify location of project, including map of proposed route | Y | Y |
| _ | Any new facilities comprising the project (e.g., new substations | | |
| F | and transmission lines) | Υ | Y |
| G | Voltage level (including AC or DC) | Y | Υ |
| н | Structure type (wood, steel, single-circuit, double-circuit, etc.) | Y | Υ |
| | Conductor type, mileage, configuration, and electrical | | |
| | parameters of components as necessary to model them | | |
| | accurately in power flow simulations (e.g., resistance, reactance, | | |
| 1 | charging, ratings, etc.) | Υ | Y |
| J | Project terminal facilities and any reactive elements (in MVAR) | Υ | Y |
| | "Estimated Cost" of porject, and if available, the associated | | |
| | annual revenue requirement and underlying assumptions (such | | |
| | as annual return (both debt and equity), depreciation, taxes | | |
| | other than income, operation and maintenance expense, and | | |
| к | income taxes) | Υ | Optional |
| L | Development schedule of the project | Υ | Y |
| м | Planned in-service date of the project | Υ | Y |
| | Whether the project is being proposed as an Interregional | | |
| N | Transmission Project (ITP) | Υ | N |
| | If the project is an Interregional Transmission Project, a list of all | | |
| | Relevant Planning Regions to which the Interregional | | |
| | Transmission Project has been, or is to be, submitted for | | |
| о | evaluation | Y | N |
| Р | Whether the Project is a Merchant Transmission Project | N | Y |
| Q | Additional technical studies and analysis, if performed | Y | Y |
| R | Economic Considerations | Y | Optional |



NorthernGrid process for Cost Allocation Consideration

Merchant Developers that wish to have their projects considered for Cost Allocation must also submit the following information. Only projects that have been selected into the Regional Transmission Plan and have been submitted by Qualified Developers seeking Cost Allocation will be cost allocated.

| Table B. Developer Qualification Data Requirements | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Information to be Provided | Criteria for Evaluation of Information | |
| | 1. name | | |
| | 2. address | | |
| | 3. primary contact information, including: | | |
| | (i) name and title | | |
| | (ii) phone number | | |
| | (iii) address | | |
| Project Sponsor's Identification | (iv) email address | Assess whether required data was submitted | |
| | 1. years in business | Assess whether information indicates experience, including | |
| | 2. description of relevant experience, including management and technical | managerial and technical expertise in developing, constructing | |
| | experience, developing, constructing, owning, and/or operating a project of | (or managing construction), owning, and/or operating | |
| Project Sponsor's Experience | similar size and scope as the Sponsored Project | comparable projects. | |
| | 1. identification of any third parties that the Project Sponsor intends to rely on for | | |
| | management, development, operating, or technical expertise | | |
| | 2. description of the expertise the third party is expected to provide | Assess whether information indicates experience, including | |
| | 3. information from which such third party's financial ability to perform can be | managerial and technical expertise in developing, constructing | |
| | evaluated, which may include information substantially similar to the information | (or managing construction), owning, and/or operating | |
| Reliance on Third Parties | listed with regard to the Project Sponsor's financial ability below | comparable projects. | |
| | 1. demonstrate that Project Sponsor, or Project Sponsor's parent, has either an | | |
| | investment grade rating or has a minimal tangible net worth of \$1,000,000 or total | | |
| | assets of \$10,000,000 | | |
| | 2. provide information from which Project Sponsor's creditworthiness can be | | |
| | evaluated, which may include the following (to the extent they exist): | | |
| | (i) most recent annual report | | |
| | (ii) most recent quarterly report | | |
| | (iii) last two most recent audited year-end financial statements | | |
| | (iv) rating agency reports | | |
| | (v) any material issues that could affect the credit rating, including any pending or | | |
| | expected litigation or investigations | Assess whether the information was submitted and satisfied | |
| Project Sponsor's Financials | (vi) other information supporting Project Sponsor's financial ability | the criteria. | |
| | a signed affirmation by an authorized officer of the Project Sponsor that the | | |
| | information provided under this Section is true, accurate, and complete to the | | |
| Affirmation | best of such authorized officer's knowledge and belief | Assess whether the information was submitted. | |